ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Antimicrobial activity of tt-farnesol associated with an endodontic sealer against Enterococcus faecalis

ABSTRACT

Aim: This study aimed to evaluate in vitro the antibacterial activity of trans-trans farnesol (tt-farnesol) associated with Sealapex sealer against Enterococcus faecalis.

Methodology: Initially, the minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) of tt-farnesol was determined by microdilution technique. The sealer was associated with 350 μ g/g tt-farnesol (GS+0.35f); 1,750 μ g/g tt-farnesol (GS+0.175f); or only Sealapex (GS). For antimicrobial activity test, E faecalis suspension was added in tubes containing the sealer samples and incubated for 24, 48, 72, 96, 120 and 144 h. After each time point, two blinded and calibrated evaluators performed the CFU count. Data were analyzed statistically by one-way ANOVA and Tukey post-hoc tests (significance level P<0.05).

Results: It was observed difference in the CFU count between G_s , GS+0.35f and GS+0.175 after 48 and 72 h, and between GS for the other groups in 96, 120 and 144 h (P<0.05). The CFU count was lower in GS+0.35f than in GS+0.175f after 48 and 72 h (P<0.05). In GS+0.35f, there was a decrease in CFU count after 48 h and in GS+0.175f after 72 h (P<0.01).

Conclusions: The association of tt-farnesol with Sealapex decreased E faecalis growth in vitro after 48 h of incubation. The MBC of 0.35 and 0.175 mg/mL of tt-farnesol reduced the CFU count after 48 and 72 h, respectively.

Alessandro Diogo De-Carli¹ Diego Luiz Sorgatto¹ Rennan Paim¹ Filipe Colombo Vitali² Rafael Aielo Bomfim¹ Jefferson José de Carvalho Marion¹ Thais Mageste Duque²

¹Department of Dentistry, Federal University of Mato Grosso do Sul, Campo Grande, Mato Grosso do Sul, Brazil ²Department of Dentistry, Federal University of Santa Catarina, Florianópolis, Santa Catarina, Brazil

Received 2020, July 29 Accepted 2021, January 9

KEYWORDS canals sealer, endodontics, Enterococcus faecalis

Corresponding author

Dr. Thais Mageste Duque | Federal University of Santa Catarina. Health Sciences Center, Department of Dentistry, Endodontics division. Delfino Conti S/N, Trindade, Florianópolis, Santa Catarina | Brazil Tel. 5548996259255 | E-mail: thaismadu@hotmail.com

Peer review under responsibility of Società Italiana di Endodonzia

10.32067/GIE.2021.35.01.12

Società Italiana di Endodonzia. Production and hosting by Ariesdue. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).



Introduction

he presence of microorganisms is one of the main conditions for the maintenance of periapical pathologies, after endodontic treatment (1). Therefore, the effectiveness of disinfection and preparation of root canals are crucial, to avoid secondary endodontic infections (1, 2). In addition to the chemical and mechanical preparation, the use of an intracanal dressing and an adequate filling of the root canal are necessary to eliminate pathogenic microorganisms and achieve more success (2).

The root canal filling is the final stage of endodontic treatment and requires a three-dimensional filling for the success of the treatment (3). It was performed using a material, usually of thermoplastic origin, in combination with an endodontic sealer (3). The filling materials should promote a great sealing of the pulp cavity, avoiding recontamination or proliferation of microorganisms that eventually survive the endodontic preparation (3, 4). Besides, it is interesting that endodontic sealers have an antibacterial effect against microorganisms that remain in isthmuses, dentinal tubules and apical deltas (3, 5). There are endodontic sealers of different bases, such as zinc oxide-eugenols, calcium hydroxide, epoxy resins and bioceramics (6-8).

Enterococcus faecalis is a Gram+ bacteria commonly found in cases of secondary endodontic infections (9-12), with a prevalence of 24% to 80% (10, 11), corresponding to 9-99.8% of the total bacterial count (12). It can invade and survive inside dentinal tubules, where it forms a resistant biofilm, which is difficult to eliminate during the root canal preparation (13, 14). The persistence of this bacteria in hostile environments and its resistance to endodontic dressings that raise the pH of the dentin (such as calcium hydroxide) can contribute to damage the periapical tissues, which can be considered a possible cause of post-treatment apical periodontitis (14-16). Also, E. faecalis produces several virulence factors, such as surface adhesins

and gelatinase, which contribute to bacterial adhesion, colonization, biofilm formation and tissue damage (17-19). Therefore, the elimination of this resistant microorganism is essential to prevent root canal reinfection.

Propolis has been used in Dentistry since the 1990s and consists of an association of wax, oils and bioactive compounds known as bioflavonoid or terpenoid, such as transtrans farnesol (tt-farnesol), which has antimicrobial activity (20-22). The association between different therapies and bioactive compounds of propolis has been studied. One example is the tt-farnesol that has been associated with fluoride, dental adhesives and glass ionomer cement in anti-caries therapy, and recently used in primary teeth endodontic therapy (21, 23, 24). Combination therapy negatively influenced the virulence of Streptococcus mutans biofilms, being effective in controlling the growth of cariogenic bacteria (25-29). However, few studies have attempted to evaluate tt-farnesol action against E faecalis. Therefore, this study aimed to evaluate the antibacterial activity of tt-farnesol associated with an endodontic sealer against E faecalis.

Material and Methods

Minimum bactericidal concentration determination

Initially, the minimum bactericidal concentration (MBC) of tt-farnesol ([C15H26O 96% Aldrich Chemistry INC; St. Louis, USA) was determined through the microdilution technique (30). The diluent was made of 20% 92.8° alcohol (Alcool Santa Cruz; São Paulo, Brazil), 0.75% dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil) and 79.25% distilled water, totaling 100 mL.

For dilution, 10 ml of diluent was added to tt-farnesol (28 mg), which resulted in a final concentration of 2.800 µg/mL. The weight of tt-farnesol solution was determined using an analytical balance. Then, tt-farnesol was diluted successively, obtaining final concentrations of 1.4, 0.7, 0.35, 0.175, 0.0875, 0.043, 0.021, 0.010 and 0.0054 mg/mL.



For the inoculum preparation, 100 µL of E faecalis (ATCC 10542) was transferred to a tube containing 5 mL of brain heart infusion (BHI) broth (DIFCO, São Paulo, Brazil) and incubated at 37 °C in 5% CO. for 18 h. An aliquot was grown on BHI agar plates and incubated at 37 °C in 5% CO, for 24 h, to obtain isolated colonies and confirm the uniform growth of *E* faecalis. The purity of the inoculum was confirmed by optical microscopy (x1000). The BHI agar plates provided five isolated colonies of *E* faecalis that were replicated, in a tube containing 5 mL of BHI, which was further incubated at 37 $^{\mathrm{o}}\mathrm{C}$ in 5% CO, for 18 h. After bacterial growth, the test tubes (n=18) were filled with 100 μ L of the diluted solutions. Subsequently, it was filled with the inoculum and standardized using a spectrophotometer (330 model Metrolab, Buenos Aires, Argentina) with a wavelength of 625 nm and absorbance of 0.09. The cultures were adjusted to 0.5 Mc Farland standard (an approximation of 1.5x10⁸ colony forming units - CFU/mL).

Table 1 CFU count (mean) in different concentrations of tt-farnesol and controls in the incubation times

Solution concentration	E faecalis (CFUx10 ⁶)		
tt-farnesol (mg/mL)			
1.4	0		
0.7	0		
0.35	0		
0.175	9		
0.0875	50		
0.043	Uncountable		
0.021	Uncountable		
0.010	Uncountable		
0.0054	Uncountable		
Positive control	Uncountable		
Negative control	0		
Buffer solution control	0		
Culture medium control	0		

To test the antimicrobial activity of tt-farnesol, the wells of a 96 ELISA tray were filled with 100 μ L of BHI. The wells (n=7) received 100 μ L of each diluted solution and added with the inoculum. Then it was incubated at 37 °C in 5% CO₂ for 24 h. Positive control (BHI + inoculum), negative control (BHI + inoculum + 0.12% chlorhexidine), buffer solution control (DMSO 100 μ L + BHI 100 μ L) and culture medium control (BHI) were also performed in the wells (n=7).

An aliquot from each well was grown on BHI agar plates. Two blindly calibrated evaluators (*Kappa* test=1.0) performed the CFU count and the mean values are shown in Table 1. The solution was considered effective when 99.9% growth of the inoculum was inhibited. The concentrations of 0.35 and 0.175 mg/mL of tt-farnesol were those chosen, as they were the borderline results between inhibiting or not bacterial growth.

Sealer preparation

The sealer used is this study was Sealapex (Kerr, Washington, EUA). This sealer is an endodontic sealer calcium hydroxide-based and its mechanism of action is obtained through ionic dissociation of Ca2+ and OHions (31). The addition of calcium hydroxide in root canal sealers improves physico-chemical properties, mainly due to a decrease in the flow rate of the sealer (31). The sealer and tt-farmesol were weighed with a precision scale (Ohaus Corporation Pine Brook, New Jersey, USA). The sealer was prepared by mixing 0.025 g of Sealapex paste and 0.025 g of Sealapex catalyst associated with different proportions of tt-farnesol: only Sealapex (GS); Sealapex + 350 µg/g tt-farnesol (GS+0.35f); Sealapex + 1750 µg/g tt-farnesol (GS+0.175f). The sealer was mixed following the manufacturer's instructions. Then, it was dispensed in 2 mm-diameter and 6 mm-long polyethilene tubes (n=108) with a Centrix syringe (DFL, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil), to avoid bubbles inside the sealer. The end of the tubes was sealed with plastic tape to prevent the material from overflowing. Once the initial hardening time was reached, the polyethilene tubes were cut with a



scalpel blade (15C model Swann-Morton, Sheffield, United Kingdom) and the sample size was confirmed with the help of a calliper.

Each group was placed in a previously identified 3 mL Eppendorf tubes and incubated at 37 °C for 7 days. Thus, the setting could be attained and, as a result, by-products could be released during the setting reaction.

Inoculum preparation

E faecalis culture was prepared in Mueller-Hinton broth (BD, New Jersey, USA) and adjusted to a concentration of 10^6 CFU/mL simulating body fluid (SBF). The adjustment was performed in a spectrophotometer. The absorbance was measured at 660 nm.

Antimicrobial activity test of sealer

The bacterial suspension was then added, in a 1:10 ratio (weight/volume), into the Eppendorf tubes containing the samples. Therefore, at the initial time, all tubes had the same concentration of bacteria. In specific times, 100 µL of each group were removed and twofold serial dilution (decimal dilution) were prepared in saline solution, to obtain a concentration of 10⁶ CFU/mL. Subsequently, the aliquots were immediately seeded in BHI agar (Isofar Indústrias Comércio de Produtos Químicos. Rio de Janeiro, Brazil) and incubated at 35°C for 24, 48, 72, 96, 120 and 144 h. After each time, they were removed and two blindly calibrated evaluators (Kappa test=1.0) performed the CFU count by group (n=10), under an x25 magnification with the aid of stereomicroscopic analysis. The mean values obtained were considered for each group at different times. All manipulations were carried out in

aseptic conditions and a laminar flow cabinet, to minimize the risks of contamination.

Statistical Analysis

Statistical difference between the groups was tested by the Analysis of Variance (*one-way* ANOVA). Tukey HSD multiple comparison *post-hoc* test was used to complement the analysis. The level of significance was set at 5%. The analysis was performed with the aid of SPSS 20 Software for Windows (IBM SPSS Statistics, Chicago, Il, USA).

Results

The mean of CFU count by the group at incubation times are shown in Table 2. It was observed a statistically significant difference in the CFU count between the groups after 48 h (one-way ANOVA, P0.01). The *post-hoc* test revealed differences between GS, GS+0.35f and GS+0.175 after 48 and 72 h, and between GS and all other groups in 96, 120 and 144 h of incubation (Tukey HSD, P<0.05). The CFU count was lower in GS+0.35f than in GS+0.175f after 48 and 72 h (Tukey HSD, P<0.05). In GS+0,35f, there was a decrease in CFU count after 48 h. in GS+0.175f after 72 h and in GS only after 120 h of incubation (one-way ANOVA P<0.01, Tukey HSD *P*<0.05).

Discussion

Secondary endodontic infection occurs due to the presence of microorganisms that resist to chemical and mechanical preparation of root canal (1, 2). E faecalis is a microorganism associated with the appearance or maintenance of periapical pathologies after endodontic treatment (9-12). The use of irrigation solution and intracanal dressing contributes to the root canal disinfection, but it is not always able to eliminate *E faecalis* (16). The use of an endodontic sealer with antibacterial activity can favour the elimination of microorganism that can survive in isthmuses. dentinal tubules and apical deltas, prolonging this effect even after root canal filling and preventing the recontamination (13, 14). An ideal endodontic sealer must have antimicrobial activity, good sealing ability, be highly penetrating, have good fluidity and be able to stimulate the repair of periapical tissues (3-5). In this study, Sealapex was the endodontic sealer chosen for presenting good sealing capacity and flow (32, 33). Also, it is calcium hydroxide-based, which is favorable for repairing the periapical tissues (32-34). However, previous



Incubation time	CFU count – mean (±SD)			
	GS	GS+0.35f	GS+0.175f	Р
0 h	1.36x10 ⁷ (±3.2x10 ⁶) ^{Aa}	1.38x107 (±1.6x10 ⁶) ^{Aa}	1.7x10 ⁷ (±4x10 ⁶) ^{Aa}	0.069
24 h	5.4x10 ⁷ (±8x10 ⁶) ^{Aa}	2.8x10 ⁷ (±1.6x10 ⁷) ^{Aa}	5.2x10 ⁷ (±1.4x10 ⁷) ^{Aa}	0.055
48 h	4x10 ⁷ (±1x10 ⁷) ^{Aa}	1.7x10 ⁷ (±4x10 ⁶) ^{Bb}	8x10 ⁶ (±3.7x10 ⁶) ^{Ca}	<0.01
72 h	4.3x10 ⁷ (±1.8x10 ⁷) ^{Aa}	7x10 ⁵ (±4x10 ⁵) ^{Bb}	3.2x10 ⁶ (±1.4x10 ⁶) ^{Cb}	<0.01
96 h	2.6x10 ⁶ (±1.2x10 ⁶) ^{Aa}	1.96x10 ⁴ (±1.5x10 ⁴) ^{Bb}	0 (±0) ^{Bb}	<0.01
120 h	3.5x10 ⁵ (±1x10 ⁵) ^{Aa}	0 (±0) ^{Bb}	0 (±0) ^{Bb}	<0.01
144 h	4.3x10 ⁵ (±1.4x10 ⁵) ^{Aa}	0 (±0) ^{Bb}	0 (±0) ^{Bb}	<0.01
Р	<0.01	<0.01	<0.01	

Table 2CFU count by groups in the incubation times

SD Standard Deviation

Same lower letter indicate statistically similarity between groups in lines and same capital letter represents statistically similarity between groups in columns (Tukey's test, P>0.05)

studies have shown that this endodontic sealer was not able to eliminate *E faecalis*, because its antibacterial activity occurs through ionic dissociation of calcium hydroxide, which increases the pH but is unable to reach deeper into the dentinal tubules, where microorganisms may be located (35-37). Therefore, to improve Sealapex antibacterial activity, this study proposed an association with the bioactive compound known for tt-farnesol.

Tt-farnesol is a terpenoid responsible for the antibacterial activity of propolis. Its use in Dentistry is safe, because of its pharmacological characteristics such as low cytotoxicity and genotoxicity, which allows it to be used as an active or adjuvant medication (38-40). Rezende et al. (24) evaluated two pastes containing propolis extract associated with calcium hydroxide for root canal filling of primary molars. The agarwell diffusion technique showed that the association between propolis and calcium hydroxide promoted greater inhibition zones of bacterial growth, being effective in the control of dental infections in vitro. These findings corroborate with this study, which showed that the association of the Sealapex sealer with tt-farnesol, a component of propolis, promoted inhibition of *E* *faecalis* growth in vitro, which could contribute to prolonging the disinfection of the root canals after filling. Studies show that tt-farnesol was effective in dental caries control and inhibition of S mutans growth (21, 23, 25, 37). Thus, the application Sealapex sealer associated with tt-farnesol in endodontic therapy has great importance, mainly because it is an original combination. A methodological advantage of this study was the use of simulated body fluid (SBF) as the medium for sealer and bacterial inoculum interaction (25, 26). SBF is a medium that favors the dissolution of the sealer and has similarity to the human body fluid, which resembles the real conditions of the root canal. It is different from studies that use only the agar culture medium (25, 26).

In this study, there was a decrease in CFU count in GS+0.175_f after 72 h and GS+0.35f after 48 h of incubation. In the GS group, which has no association with tt-farnesol, CFU count was higher after 48 h, compared to the other groups. The association of tt-farnesol with Sealapex sealer seemed to inhibit bacterial growth. This result can be explained by the study by Schäfer and Zandbiglari (32) which demonstrated that Sealapex sealer presents low solubility in



the first hours, but increase its solubility over time, reaching 10% after 28 days. In this study, CFU count decreased in G_s only after 120 h of incubation. This can be explained by the ability of *E* faecalis to remain viable, even after raising the medium pH (14-16). The association between Sealapex and tt-farnesol seems to improve the action of the sealer against *E faecalis*. The action mechanism of tt-farnesol (as well as other terpenoids) is in its ability to act on the bacterial membrane, promoting the lysis of the microorganism (22, 26). Koo et al. (26) demonstrated that tt-farmesol promoted a visible rupture of the membrane of Strepto*coccus* in phase-contrast microscopy. The anti-inflammatory activity of propolis was associated with its ability to remove free radicals through its bioactive compounds, which favors tissue regeneration and repair, stimulating the formation of hard tissue (22). Based on the results of the present study and considering tt-farnesol as an anti-inflammatory agent that favors histological repair, it seems essential to develop further research to understand the mechanism of action of this substance, particularly to provide fundamentals for combinatorial therapy. This would provide this therapy with stronger biological support, thereby allowing it to be clinically tested in the future.

Conclusions

From this study, we can conclude that the association of tt-farnesol with Sealapex sealer decreased *E. faecalis* growth in vitro after 48 h of incubation in comparison to the group that used only the sealer. The MBC of 0.35 and 0.175 mg/mL of tt-farnesol reduced the CFU count after 48 and 72 h, respectively.

Clinical Relevance

An adequate endodontic treatment with a substance that promote microbial control mainly against *E. faecalis* strains can results in the greatest success of the treatmen.

Conflict of Interest

None.

Acknowledgements

None.

References

- 1 Barbosa-Ribeiro M, De-Jesus-Soares A, Zaia AA, Ferraz CC, Almeida JF, Gomes BP. Quantification of lipoteichoic acid contents and cultivable bacteria at the different phases of the endodontic retreatment. J Endod 2016;42:552-6.
- 2 Haapasalo M, Qian W, Portenier I, Waltimo T. Effects of dentin on the antimicrobial properties of endodontic medicaments. J Endod 2007;33:917-25.
- 3 Meirinhos J, Martins JNR, Pereira B, Baruwa A, Gouveia J, Quaresma SA, Monroe A, Ginjeira A. Prevalence of apical periodontitis and its association with previous root canal treatment, root canal filling length and type of coronal restoration a cross-sectional study. Int Endod J 2020;53:573-84.
- 4 Mello FW, Miguel AFP, Ribeiro DM, Pasternak B Jr, Porporatti AL, Flores-Mir C, Andrada AC, Garcia LDFR, Dutra-Horstmann KL. The influence of apical extent of root canal obturation on endodontic therapy outcome: a systematic review. Clin Oral Investig 2019;23:2005-19.
- 5 Mickel AK, Nguyen TH, Chogle S. Antimicrobial activity of endodontic sealers on Enterococcus faecalis. J Endod 2003;29:257-8.
- 6 Donnermeyer D, Urban K, Bürklein S, Schäfer E. Physico-chemical investigation of endodontic sealers exposed to simulated intracanal heat application: epoxy resins and zinc oxide-eugenols. Int Endod J 2020;53:690-7.
- 7 Chisnoiu R, Moldovan M, Chisnoiu A, Hrab D, Rotaru D, Păstrav O, Delean A. Comparative apical sealing evaluation of two bioceramic endodontic sealers. Med Pharm Rep 2019;92:S55-60.
- 8 Candeiro GTM, Lavor AB, Lima ITF, Vasconcelos BC, Gomes NV, Iglecias EF, Gavini G. Penetration of bioceramic and epoxy-resin endodontic cements into lateral canals. Braz Oral Res 2019;33:e49.
- 9 Bouillaguet S, Manoil D, Girard M, Louis J, Gaïa N, Leo S, Schrenzel J, Lazarevic V. Root microbiota in primary and secondary apical periodontitis. Front Microbiol 2018;9:2374.
- 10 Stuart CH, Schwartz SA, Beeson TJ, Owatz CB. Enterococcus faecalis: its role in root canal treatment failure and current concepts in retreatment. J Endod 2006;32:93-8.
- 11 Keskin C, Demiryurek EO, Onuk EE. Pyrosequencing analysis of cryogenically ground samples from primary and secondary/persistent endodontic infections. J Endod 2017;43:1309-16.
- 12 Antunes HS, Rôças IN, Alves FRE, Siqueira JF Jr. Total and specific bacterial levels in the apical root canal system of teeth with post-treatment apical periodontitis. J Endod 2015;41:1037-42.
- 13 Ran S, Wang J, Jiang W, Zhu C, Liang J. Assessment of dentinal tubule invasion capacity of Enterococcus



faecalis under stress conditions ex vivo. Int Endod J 2015;48:362-72.

- 14 Dioguardi M, Di Gioia G, Illuzzi G, Arena C, Caponio VCA, Caloro GA, Zhurakivska K, Adipietro I, Troiano G, Lo Muzio L. Inspection of the microbiota in endodontic lesions. Dent J 2019;7:e47.
- 15 Yang J, Park OJ, Kim J, Baik JE, Yun CH, Han SH. Lipoteichoic acid of Enterococcus faecalis. Inhibits the differentiation of macrophages into osteoclasts. J Endod 2016;42:570-4.
- 16 Portenier I, Waltimo T, Orstavik D, Haapasalo M. The susceptibility of starved, stationary phase, and growing cells of Enterococcus faecalis to endodontic medicaments. J Endod 2005;31:380-6.
- 17 Barbosa-Ribeiro M, De-Jesus-Soares A, Zaia AA, Ferraz CC, Almeida JF, Gomes BP. Antimicrobial susceptibility and characterization of virulence genes of Enterococcus faecalis isolates from teeth with failure of the endodontic treatment. J Endod 2016;42:1022-8.
- 18 Beomidehagh M, Rezaee MA, Ganbarov K, Jafari F, Hasani A, Alizadeh N, Tanomand A, Kafil HS. Effect of acidic and alkali shocks on the expression of efaA gene in Enterococcus faecalis, isolated from root canal infection. Cell Mol Biol 2018;64:1-5.
- 19 Xu J, He J, Shen Y, Zhou X, Huang D, Gao Y, Haapasalo M. Influence of endodontic procedure on the adherence of Enterococcus faecalis. J Endod 2019;45:943-9.
- 20 Koru O, Toksoy F, Acikel CH, Tunca YM, Baysallar M, Uskudar Guclu A, Akca E, Ozkok Tuylu A, Sorkun K, Tanyuksel M, Salih B. In vitro antimicrobial activity of propolis samples from different geographical origins against certain oral pathogens. Anaerobe 2007;13:140-5.
- 21 de Castilho ARF, Rosalen PL, de Souza Araújo IJ, Kitagawa IL, Costa CAGA, Janal MN, Alves MC, Alves MC, Duarte S, Lisboa Filho PN, Stipp RN, Puppin-Rontani RM. Trans trans-farnesol, an antimicrobial natural compound, improves glass ionomer cement properties. PLoS One 2019;14:e-0220718.
- 22 Khurshid Z, Naseem M, Zafar MS, Najeeb S, Zohaib <u>S</u>. Propolis: a natural biomaterial for dental and oral healthcare. J Dent Res Dent Clin Dent Prospects 2017;11:265-74.
- 23 André CB, Rosalen PL, Galvão LCC, Fronza BM, Ambrosano GMB, Ferracane JL, Giannini M. Modulation of Streptococcus mutans virulence by dental adhesives containing anti-caries agents. Dent Mater 2017;33:1084-92.
- 24 Rezende GPSR, Costa LRRS, Pimenta FC, Baroni DA. In vitro antimicrobial activity of endodontic pastes with propolis extracts and calcium hydroxide: a preliminary study. Braz Dent J 2008;19:301-5.
- 25 Rocha GR, Florez Salamanca EJ, de Barros AL, Lobo CIV, Klein MI. Effect of tt-farnesol and myricetin on in vitro biofilm formed by Streptococcus mutans and Candida albicans. BMC Complement Altern Med 2018;18:61.
- 26 Koo H, Rosalen PL, Cury JA, Park YK, Bowen WH. Effects of compounds found in propolis on Streptococcus mutans growth and on glucosyltransferase activity. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2002;46:1302-9.
- 27 Jeon JG, Rosalen PL, Falsetta ML, Koo H. Natural products in caries research: current limited knowledge, challenges and future perspective. Caries Res 2011;45:243-63.
- 28 Koo H, Schobel B, Scott-Anne K, Watson G, Bowen

WH, Cury JA, Rosalen PL, Park YK. Apigenin and tt-farnesol with fluoride effects on S. mutans biofilms and dental caries. J Dent Res 2005;84:1016-20.

- 29 Koo H, Hayacibara MF, Schobel BD, Cury JA, Rosalen PL, Park YK, Vacca-Smith AM, Bowen WH. Inhibition of Streptococcus mutans biofilm accumulation and polysaccharide production by apigenin and tt-farmesol. J Antimicrob Chemother 2003;52:782-9.
- 30 NCCLS. Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically: approved standard. USA, 6th ed. NCCLS document M7-A6; 2003.
- 31 Araújo VL, Souza-Gabriel AE, Cruz Filho AM, Pécora JD, Silva RG. Volume of sealer in the apical region of teeth filled by different techniques: a micro-CT analysis. Braz Oral Res. 2016;30:S1806-83242016 000100234.
- 32 Schäfer E, Zandbiglari T. Solubility of root-canal sealers in water and artificial saliva. Int Endod J 2003;36:660-9.
- 33 Oliveira ACM, Tanomaru JMG, Faria Junior N, Tanomaru Filho M. Bacterial leakage in root canals filled with conventional and MTA-based sealers. Int Endod J 2011;44:370-5.
- 34 Estrela C, Holland R. Calcium hydroxide: study based on scientific evidence. J Appl Oral Sci 2003;11:269-82.
- 35 Poggio C, Trovati F, Ceci M, Colombo M, Pietrocola G. Antibacterial activity of different root canal sealers against Enterococcus faecalis. J Clin Exp Dent 2017;9:e743-8.
- 36 Rezende GC, Massunari L, Queiroz IO, Gomes Filho JE, Jacinto RC, Lodi CS, Dezan Junior E. Antimicrobial action of calcium hydroxide-based endodontic sealers after setting, against E. faecalis biofilm. Braz Oral Res 2016;30:S1806-83242016000100228.
- 37 Zhang H, Shen Y, Ruse ND, Haapasalo M. Antibacterial activity of endodontic sealers by modified direct contact test against Enterococcus faecalis. J Endod 2009;35:1051-5.
- 38 de Araújo Delmondes G, Bezerra DS, de Queiroz Dias D, de Souza Borges A, Araújo IM, Lins de Cunha G, Bandeira PFR, Barbosa R, Melo Coutinho HD, Felipe CFB, Barbosa-Filho JM, Alencar de Menezes IR, Kerntopf MR. Toxicological and pharmacologic effects of farnesol $C_{15}H_{28}O$: a descriptive systematic review. Food Chem Toxicol 2019;129:169-200.
- 39 Chávez-Andrade GM, Tanomaru-Filho M, Rodrigues EM, Gomes-Cornélio AL, Faria G, Bernardi MIB, Guerreiro-Tanomaru JM. Cytotoxicity, genotoxicity and antibacterial activity of polyvinyl alcohol-coated silver nanoparticles and farnesol as irrigating solutions. Arch Oral Biol 2017;84:89-93.
- 40 Evans M, Davies JK, Sundqvist G, Figdor D. Mechanisms involved in the resistance of Enterococcus faecalis to calcium hydroxide. Int Endod J 2002;35:221-8.